**AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH and DEMAND**

Mr. Jonathan Steinberg

Co-Chair, Joint Committee on Energy and Technology, Connecticut General Assembly

Legislative Office Building

Room 3004

300 Capitol Avenue

Hartford, CT 06106-1591

Re: HB5107

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the undersigned, make this Affidavit of my own free will and hereby affirm, under my oath, that the information contained in this Affidavit is true and correct.

 This Affidavit of Truth and Demand, hereinafter: “Affidavit”, is lawful notification to Jonathan Steinberg , and to the Joint Committee on Energy and Technology, hereinafter: “JCET”, and to their agents, attorneys, affiliates, assigns, employees, and those associated with Jonathan Steinberg and the JCET in any manner whatsoever, and is hereby made and sent to the above named Jonathan Steinberg pursuant to the Bill of Rights to the Constitution for the united States of America, circa 1787, as amended in 1791 with the Bill of Rights, hereinafter: the “national Constitution” or “Constitution”, specifically pursuant to the Bill of Rights, in particular, Amendments I, IV, V, VI, VII, IX, and X, and the Connecticut Constitution, in particular, Article First, sections 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 14, and pursuant to your oaths, and requires your written response to me within 15 days, via your sworn and notarized Affidavit, specific to the subject matter specified in this Affidavit. Notice to principals is notice to agents and notice to agents is notice to principals. You are hereby notified that your failure to respond, in kind, as stipulated, and rebut, with particularity, anything with which you disagree in this Affidavit, is your lawful, legal, and binding agreement with and admission to the fact that everything in this Affidavit is true, correct, legal, lawful, and fully binding upon you in any court in America, without your protest or objection, and that of those who represent you. Your silence is your acquiescence. See: *Connally v. General* [*Construction Co.,* 269 U.S. 385,391](http://www.justia.us/us/269/385/case.html%20%5C%20385). Notification of legal responsibility is “the first essential of due process of law”. See also: *U.S. V. Tweel*, 550 F.2d.297. “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or when an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading.”

1. Any act committed by you, Mr. Steinberg, either supports and upholds the Constitutions, national and state, or opposes and violates them.
2. You have taken an oath to support and uphold the national and state Constitutions and are Constitutionally mandated to abide by that oath in the performance of your official duties.
3. You have no Constitutional authority, or any other form of valid, lawful authority, to oppose and violate the very documents to which you swore or affirmed your oath and under which you were delegated by the people the limited authority to conduct the duties of your office.
4. The above three positions are true, factual, lawful, and constitutionally ordained.

Some of the statements to which you agree through failure to rebut this Affidavit are:

* The powers of the federal government are limited to the enumerated powers granted to that government by the national Constitution, which, per Article VI, is the supreme law of the united States of America, superior to all state Constitutions, laws, statutes, rules, regulations, ordinances, and all other inferior dictates.
* The powers of the Connecticut state government are limited to the enumerated powers granted to that government by the Connecticut Constitution, which is the supreme law of the state of Connecticut, inferior only to the national Constitution.
* All laws and/or statutes created by the Connecticut legislature must be Constitutionally compliant, specific to the Bill of Rights, or those laws and statutes are not laws at all, and have no valid, lawful force and effect upon the Citizens of Connecticut, and in this instant matter, me.
* Any public official who extends his or her authority beyond the limits of the authority vested by the Constitutions is committing treason and sedition and is liable for lawful prosecution.
* When public officers harm the Citizens by their errant actions and then refuse to respond to or rebut petitions from Citizens, those public officers become DOMESTIC ENEMIES, acting in treason, sedition, and insurrection to the declared Law of the Land, and they must be exposed, opposed, and lawfully removed from office.
* In *Cooper v. Aaron*, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S. Ct. 1401 (1958), the U.S. Supreme Court said that “no state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it”. Warring against the Constitution is an act of treason, a very serious offense.
* Section 2 of the Connecticut Constitution declares that “All political power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their benefit; and they have at all times an undeniable and indefeasible right to alter their form of government in such manner as they may think expedient.”
* Section 7 of the same declares that “The people shall be secure in their persons, houses, papers and possessions from unreasonable searches or seizures; and no warrant to search any place, or to seize any person or things, shall issue without describing them as nearly as may be, nor without probable cause supported by oath or affirmation.”
* The Fourth Amendment to the national Constitution declares that “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”
* The seizure of “any person or thing” includes the seizure of any of the freedoms of that person as expressed in the Bill of Rights, as well as the unenumerated rights guaranteed in the Ninth Amendment.

Proposed Bill No. 5107, hereinafter “HB5107”, was introduced by Representative David Michel of the 146th District on January 9, 2023. It is sponsored by him and Rep. Anne Hughes of the 135th District as “AN ACT CONCERNING SMALL CELL SITING AND SMART METER OPT-IN” and was referred to the Committee on Energy and Technology. Its stated purpose is “To give municipalities different options in conducting the siting for small cell installations and to prohibit electric utilities from installing smart meters if the customer does not opt in and consent to the installation.” The proposed bill does not define “smart meter”, but in this Affidavit, a “smart meter” is any electronic or digital device that is affixed to or near a private dwelling that transmits to any receiving facility any information about that dwelling’s inhabitants and visitors, regardless of what that information contains, and regardless of whether that meter measures the consumption of electricity, natural gas, water, or heating, or anything else.

Small cell devices, also known as small Wireless Telecommunications Facilities, or sWTFs, and “smart meters” have been proven to cause harm to humans, animals, insects, and plant life because of these sWTFs’ transmissions of pulsed, modulated millimeter microwave radiation, and the smart meters’ transmissions of pulsed modulated radiation and “dirty electricity”, which spreads throughout the whole house. In addition, “smart meters” have been known to catch fire and even explode and have damaged or burned down entire houses. This harm also affects all Connecticut representatives, including you, Mr. Steinberg. See Exhibit A for a partial summary of a few of the over 25,000 peer-reviewed and government studies demonstrating the harmful effects of sWTFs and Exhibit B, which provides information from the **Environmental Health Trust** website as a brief summary of the harmful effects of “smart meters”. Both exhibits are attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.

HB5107 would grant Connecticut municipalities various options regarding the siting of sWTFs and prohibit electric utilities from installing “smart meters” if any customer decides to not consent or opt in to the installation of such a meter anywhere on his or her property. Genuine public debate and disclosure would provide all Connecticut Citizens to opt in or opt out of such sWTF and “smart meter” installations in honor of their God-given rights to be “secure in their persons”, as expressed in the national and state Constitutions, and in support of the unalienable rights they are endowed with by their Creator, among which are “Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness”, as expressed in the Declaration of Independence, because to “secure these Rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just Powers from the Consent of the Governed.” That, in fact, Mr. Steinberg, is the *sole purpose of government* – to uphold the unalienable rights of the People, and it is your sole responsibility in the legislature.

By this Affidavit, I therefore demand that HB5107 be moved out of the Energy and Technology Committee and made available to the general public for open debate and full disclosure.

Any act created by Congress or any state legislature, and any action committed by any public officer, including Supreme Court justices, either supports and upholds the Constitution, and the rights of the People expressed therein, both enumerated and unenumerated, or opposes and violates the Constitution and the rights of the People. As stated previously, no public officer has the Constitutional authority – or any other form of valid authority – to violate, oppose, and contradict the very documents to which that officer swore or affirmed his or her oath.

By not moving HB5107 out of committee, or by otherwise preventing sincere and genuine public debate and disclosure of it or opposing the bill should it come up for a vote, you will violate both the national and state Constitutions and due process of law. As a result, you can be sued for your wrongdoing against me, personally, privately, individually, and in your professional capacity, both civilly and criminally. The same fate can befall all those in your jurisdiction, including your supervisors and anyone having oversight responsibility for you, including any judges, prosecuting attorneys, and public officers for that jurisdiction, if, once they are notified of your wrongdoing, they fail to take lawful actions to correct it, pursuant to their oaths and their duties, thereto. If they fail to act and rectify the matter, then they condone, aid and abet your criminal actions, and further, they collude and conspire to deprive other Citizens and me of their inherent Rights guaranteed in the Constitutions, as a custom, practice and usual business operation of their office and the jurisdiction for which they work. This constitutes treason by the entire jurisdiction against me and based upon the actions taken and what exists on the public record, it is impossible for any public officer, such as you, to defend himself against treason committed. Rest assured that I will claim and protect my Constitutionally guaranteed Rights which you and your entire jurisdiction will have unlawfully, and without any Constitutional authority, denied, should you not meet the demands stated in this Affidavit.

By this Affidavit, lawful notification has been provided to you stating that if you do not rebut in kind the statements and averments made in this Affidavit, then you agree with and admit to all of them and will abide by its demands. Pursuant to that lawful notification, if you disagree with anything stated in this Affidavit, then rebut that with which you disagree, with particularity, within fifteen (15) days of receipt thereof, by means of your written, sworn, and notarized Affidavit of truth, based in specific, relevant fact and valid Constitutionally compliant law to support your disagreement. Your failure to respond, as stipulated, is your tacit agreement with and admission to the fact that everything in this Affidavit is true, correct, legal, lawful, and is your irrevocable admission attesting to this, fully binding upon you in any court of law in America, without your protest, objection and that of those who represent you.

Affiant further sayeth naught.

All Rights Reserved,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[affiant name] Date

All Rights Reserved

By Certified/Registered Mail, Return Receipt [xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx]

County of [county], State of Connecticut,

I swear that on this\_\_\_\_\_day of [month], [year], the above named Affiant, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ appeared before me, and of his own free will, signed this Affidavit of Truth.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |
| --- |
| cc in their personal and professional capacities: |
|  | Ned Lamont, Governor, State of Connecticut, 210 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | William Tong, Atty Gen, State of Connecticut, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | Susan Bysiewicz, Lieut Gov, State of Connecticut, 210 Capitol Avenue, Room 304, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | Matthew Ritter, Spkr, House of Representatives, 300 Capitol Ave, Room 4106, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | Jason Rojas, Maj Ldr, House of Representatives, 300 Capitol Ave, Room 4100, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | Vincent Candelora, Min Ldr, House of Representatives, 300 Capitol Ave, Room 4200, Hartford, CT 0610 |
|  | Media and other interested parties |

**Certificate of Service:**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Affidavit was sent via certified or registered mail on [date], to Jonathan Steinberg, Co-Chair, Joint Committee on Energy and Technology, Connecticut General Assembly, at the following address:

Jonathan Steinberg

Room 3004

300 Capitol Avenue

Hartford, CT 06106-1591

All Rights Reserved,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[affiant name], Affiant

[Affiant address]