**AFFIDAVIT OF TRUTH and DEMAND**

Mr. Joe Gresko

Co-Chair, Joint Committee on Environment, Connecticut General Assembly

Legislative Office Building

Room 3201

300 Capitol Avenue

Hartford, CT 06106-1591

Re: SB302

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, the undersigned, make this Affidavit of my own free will and hereby affirm, under my oath, that the information contained in this Affidavit is true and correct.

This Affidavit of Truth and Demand, hereinafter: “Affidavit”, is lawful notification to Christine Cohen, and to the Connecticut Environment Committee, hereinafter: “CEC”, and to their agents, attorneys, affiliates, assigns, employees, and those associated with Joe Gresko and the JCET in any manner whatsoever, and is hereby made and sent to the above named Joe Gresko pursuant to the Bill of Rights to the Constitution for the united States of America, circa 1787, as amended in 1791 with the Bill of Rights, hereinafter: the “national Constitution” or “Constitution”, specifically pursuant to the Bill of Rights, in particular, Amendments I, IV, V, VI, VII, IX, and X, and the Connecticut Constitution, in particular, Article First, sections 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 14, and pursuant to your oaths, and requires your written response to me within 15 days, via your sworn and notarized Affidavit, specific to the subject matter specified in this Affidavit. Notice to principals is notice to agents, and notice to agents is notice to principals. You are hereby notified that your failure to respond, in kind, as stipulated, and rebut, with particularity, anything with which you disagree in this Affidavit, is your lawful, legal, and binding agreement with and admission to the fact that everything in this Affidavit is true, correct, legal, lawful, and fully binding upon you in any court in America, without your protest or objection, and that of those who represent you. Your silence is your acquiescence. See: *Connally v. General* [*Construction Co.,* 269 U.S. 385,391](http://www.justia.us/us/269/385/case.html%20\%20385). Notification of legal responsibility is “the first essential of due process of law”. See also: *U.S. V. Tweel*, 550 F.2d.297. “Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or when an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading.”

1. Any act committed by you, Mr. Gresko, either supports and upholds the Constitutions, national and state, or opposes and violates them.
2. You have taken an oath to support and uphold the national and state Constitutions and are Constitutionally mandated to abide by that oath in the performance of your official duties.
3. You have no Constitutional authority, or any other form of valid, lawful authority, to oppose and violate the very documents to which you swore or affirmed your oath and under which you were delegated by the people the limited authority to conduct the duties of your office.
4. The above three positions are true, factual, lawful, and constitutionally ordained.

Some of the statements to which you agree through failure to rebut this Affidavit are:

* The powers of the federal government are limited to the enumerated powers granted to that government by the national Constitution, which, per Article VI, is the supreme law of the united States of America, superior to all state Constitutions, laws, statutes, rules, regulations, ordinances, and all other inferior dictates.
* The powers of the Connecticut state government are limited to the enumerated powers granted to that government by the Connecticut Constitution, which is the supreme law of the state of Connecticut, inferior only to the national Constitution.
* All laws and/or statutes created by the Connecticut legislature must be Constitutionally compliant, specific to the Bill of Rights, or those laws and statutes are not laws at all, and have no valid, lawful force and effect upon the Citizens of Connecticut, and in this instant matter, me.
* Any public official who extends his or her authority beyond the limits of the authority vested by the Constitutions is committing treason and sedition and is liable for lawful prosecution.
* When public officers harm the Citizens by their errant actions and then refuse to respond to or rebut petitions from Citizens, those public officers become DOMESTIC ENEMIES, acting in treason, sedition, and insurrection to the declared Law of the Land, and they must be exposed, opposed, and lawfully removed from office.
* In *Cooper v. Aaron*, 358 U.S. 1, 78 S. Ct. 1401 (1958), the U.S. Supreme Court said that “no state legislator or executive or judicial officer can war against the Constitution without violating his undertaking to support it”. Warring against the Constitution is an act of treason, a very serious offense.
* Section 2 of the Connecticut Constitution declares that “All political power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority, and instituted for their benefit; and they have at all times an undeniable and indefeasible right to alter their form of government in such manner as they may think expedient.”
* Section 7 of the same declares that “The people shall be secure in their persons, houses, papers and possessions from unreasonable searches or seizures; and no warrant to search any place, or to seize any person or things, shall issue without describing them as nearly as may be, nor without probable cause supported by oath or affirmation.”
* The Fourth Amendment to the national Constitution declares that “The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.”
* The seizure of “any person or thing” includes the seizure of any of the freedoms of that person as expressed in the Bill of Rights, as well as the unenumerated rights guaranteed in the Ninth Amendment.

Proposed Bill No. 302, hereinafter “SB302”, was introduced by Senator Rob Sampson of the 16th District for the January 2023 session under the title and subtitle of THE CLEAN ATMOSPHERE ACT, AN ACT CONCERNING PUBLIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND ATMOSPHERIC PROTECTION. It was referred to the Joint Committee on Environment on January 18, 2023.

Its stated purpose is “To preserve the safe, healthful, resilient and peaceful uses of Connecticut’s atmosphere for people, the environment, and agriculture, and to improve climate efforts; by establishing a regulatory process for the prevention of potentially hazardous atmospheric polluting activities, providing enforcement and penalties for violative activity.” It would prohibit the intentional release of polluting atmospheric emissions by providing regulations to prevent cloud-seeding, weather modification, geoengineering and other hazardous atmospheric activities, to prohibit polluting activities; provide for the cessation of certain federal or foreign activities; clarify authorities of the Connecticut Siting board and PURA; require state appointees’ oath-based obligations to constituents to be honored; provide for penalties and enforcement; provide for public participation and reporting; provide for investigatory findings; and provide for administrative rulemaking authority.

SB302 would amend Connecticut's general statutes to mandate that the intentional atmospheric release of hazardous emissions be prohibited as set forth by the provisions stated in the text of the bill, and its scope would include stratospheric aerosol injections (SAI), solar radiation management (SRM), weather modification, cloud-seeding, carbon dioxide removal (CDR), and other techniques, as well as anthropogenic, intentionally polluting atmospheric, ground-based, underwater, and/or atmosphere-based activities, and all aerosol injections, and other deployments by facilities such as aircraft, rockets, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and drones of all sizes down to pico, large balloons, wireless infrastructures, ships and/or submarines.

SB302 would therefore protect the citizens of Connecticut – and neighboring states as well, since the adverse effects of the activities cited in the bill do not stop at the state’s borders – from the deleterious results listed in its “Finding of Facts” section, as well as those described in EXHIBIT B, The Clean Atmosphere Act - Supplement II. EXHIBIT A is Supplement I – The Connecticut Clean Atmosphere Act, and lists federal law citations. Pay particular attention to EXHIBIT B’s paragraphs (i): “Given the CT Siting Council’s original and fundamental lack of authority to regulate electronic operations, and its functioning as consistently contrary to the above federal laws and precedents, the Siting Council is hereby removed at once through this act from participation in wireless facilities’ siting regulatory processes”; and (j): “Given CT Public Utilities Regulatory Authority’s (PURA’s) lack of regulatory capacity over wireless facilities’ operations and their specifications, and its functioning as contrary to the above federal laws and precedents, any perceived authority in its participation in wireless facility placement is hereby removed.” Neither the Connecticut Siting Council nor PURA have any authority over the placement or regulation of wireless facilities anywhere in the state of Connecticut.

See also EXHIBIT C, “Toxicology of Cloud Seeding”, which is a representative selection of papers and links documenting the toxicity of cloud seeding, and EXHIBIT D, “The Atmosphere Protection Act of Rhode Island”, which provides a link to a PDF version of this bill, whose legislative intent is to preserve the safe, healthful, resilient and peaceful uses of Rhode Island’s atmosphere for people, the environment, and agriculture, and to improve climate efforts, by prohibiting hazardous atmospheric polluting activities, providing enforcement and penalties for violative activity. The members of the Rhode Island Assembly found that many atmospheric activities involving the intentional release of hazardous emissions harm human health and safety, the environment, agriculture, aviation, security, and the economy of the State of Rhode Island, and wrote this bill expressly to prohibit the deliberate polluting activities in Rhode Island's atmosphere and at ground level. Exhibits A, B, C, and D are attached hereto and fully incorporated herein.

In short, SB302 would help honor the God-given rights of all Connecticut Citizens to be “secure in their persons”, as expressed in the national and state Constitutions, and support all of the unalienable rights they are endowed with by their Creator, among which are “Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness”, as expressed in the Declaration of Independence, because to “secure these Rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just Powers from the Consent of the Governed.” That, in fact, Mr. Gresko, is the *sole purpose of government* – to uphold the unalienable rights of the People, and it is your sole responsibility in the legislature.

By this Affidavit, I therefore demand that SB302 be moved out of the Joint Committee on Environment and made available to the general public for open debate and full disclosure.

Any act created by Congress or any state legislature, and any action committed by any public officer, including Supreme Court justices, either supports and upholds the Constitution, and the rights of the People expressed therein, both enumerated and unenumerated, or opposes and violates the Constitution and the rights of the People. As stated previously, no public officer has the Constitutional authority – or any other form of valid authority – to violate, oppose, and contradict the very documents to which that officer swore or affirmed his or her oath.

By not moving SB302 out of committee, or by otherwise preventing sincere and genuine public debate and disclosure of it, or opposing the bill should it come up for a vote, you will violate both the national and state Constitutions and due process of law. As a result, you can be sued for your wrongdoing against me, personally, privately, individually, and in your professional capacity, both civilly and criminally. The same fate can befall all those in your jurisdiction, including your supervisors and anyone having oversight responsibility for you, including any judges, prosecuting attorneys, and public officers for that jurisdiction, if, once they are notified of your wrongdoing, they fail to take lawful actions to correct it, pursuant to their oaths and their duties, thereto. If they fail to act and rectify the matter, then they condone, aid and abet your criminal actions, and further, they collude and conspire to deprive other Citizens and me of their inherent Rights guaranteed in the Constitutions, as a custom, practice and usual business operation of their office and the jurisdiction for which they work. This constitutes treason by the entire jurisdiction against me, and based upon the actions taken and what exists on the public record, it is impossible for any public officer, such as you, to defend himself against treason committed. Rest assured that I will claim and protect my Constitutionally guaranteed Rights which you and your entire jurisdiction will have unlawfully, and without any Constitutional authority, denied, should you not meet the demands stated in this Affidavit.

By this Affidavit, lawful notification has been provided to you stating that if you do not rebut in kind the statements and averments made in this Affidavit, then you agree with and admit to all of them, and will abide by its demands. Pursuant to that lawful notification, if you disagree with anything stated in this Affidavit, then rebut that with which you disagree, with particularity, within fifteen (15) days of receipt thereof, by means of your written, sworn, and notarized Affidavit of truth, based in specific, relevant fact and valid Constitutionally-compliant law to support your disagreement. Your failure to respond, as stipulated, is your tacit agreement with and admission to the fact that everything in this Affidavit is true, correct, legal, lawful, and is your irrevocable admission attesting to this, fully binding upon you in any court of law in America, without your protest, objection and that of those who represent you.

Affiant further sayeth naught.

All Rights Reserved,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[affiant name] Date

All Rights Reserved

By Certified/Registred Mail, Return Receipt [xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx]

County of [county], State of Connecticut,

I swear that on this\_\_\_\_\_day of [month], [year], the above named Affiant, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ appeared before me, and of his own free will, signed this Affidavit of Truth.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| cc in their personal and professional capacities: | |
|  | Ned Lamont, Governor, State of Connecticut, 210 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | William Tong, Atty Gen, State of Connecticut, 165 Capitol Avenue, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | Susan Bysiewicz, Lieut Gov, State of Connecticut, 210 Capitol Avenue, Room 304, Hartford, CT 06106 |
|  | Bob Duff, Maj Ldr, State Senate, 300 Capitol Ave, Room 3300, Hartford, CT 06106-1591 |
|  | Kevin Kelly, Min Ldr, State Senate, Capitol Ave, Room 2803, Hartford, CT 06106-1591 |
|  | Martin Looney, Pres. Pro Temp, State Senate, 300 Capitol Ave, Room 3300, Hartford, CT 06106-1591 |
|  | Media and other interested parties |

**Certificate of Service:**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Affidavit was sent via certified or registered mail on [date], to Joe Gresko, Co-Chair, Joint Committee on Environment, Connecticut General Assembly, at the following address:

Joe Gresko

Legislative Office Building

Room 3201

300 Capitol Avenue

Hartford, CT 06106-1591

All Rights Reserved,

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

[affiant name], Affiant

[Affiant address]